ABM

THE NEW YORK TIMES

## U.S. Expects Soviet to Test Large New Missiles Soon

By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York T

WASHINGTON, May 18-Administration officials have disclosed that the Soviet Union is pressing ahead so rapidly with its new missile program that test firings are expected within the next few months.

> I wo launching silos for the big SS-9 intercontinental mis-siles at the Tyuratam test cen-ter, east of the Aral Sea in Kazakhstan in central Asia, are being rapidly rebuilt to the same dimensions as the new, larger silos that have been appearing all over western Russia since December, these officials

There are now more than 60 such silos, they said, as against 10 discovered early this year and 40 reported by the Administration officials last month. "If this pace continues much longer, we'll have to call it a crash program," one official declared.

The first tests, expected this summer, should provide data answering many of the ques-tions and clarifying much of the speculation among experts here over the new program, analysts said.

Most Government analysts believe that the new silos will house either an improved version of the SS-9 missile or an entirely new and larger missile. In either case the missiles are expected to carry three or more independently targetable reentry vehicles, commonly called MIRV warheads.

But despite general concern over the pace of the new effort, several officials noted hopefully that Soviet diplomats had hinted recently at the possibility that, as the new silos were fitted with improved operational missiles, older missiles might be dismantled.

Up to now the Russians have

Continued on Page 16, Column I

DATE 19MAGTI

kept in service their older missiles as well as older radar installations, antiaircraft artillery and other systems, as they added new models.

Reassuring Replies Given

Informants said that when the the Russians were asked about he new silos at the Vienna alks on limitation of strategic armaments, they urged the Americans not to worry. Soviet delegates repeatedly said that the silos were part of a "modernization" program similar to the United States's modernizazation of its Minuteman and Polaris missiles.

About a year ago, the United States started long-planned programs to substitute Minuteman-3 missiles for the 550 older Minuteman-I's, and to substitute more advanced Poseidon missiles for each of the16 Polaris missiles on 31 of the Navy's

1. Polaris submarines.
The Minuteman-3 carries three MIRV warheads and the Poseidon carries from 10 to 14 MIRV's. About 450 single-warhead Minuteman-2 missiles will

head Minuteman-2 missiles will be retained.

The Russians, Administration sources say, did not go so far fa to state that older missiles would be retired as new missiles became operational.

And/now, when the United states and the Soviet Union are attempting to negotiate a possible numerical limitation on strategic weapons, some offistrategic weapons, some offi-cials here are skeptical that Moscow would weaken its bargaining position by voluntarily dismantling some of its older missiles.

If the Soviet Union should

do so, however, this would be considered a very promising sign, suggesting that the Mos-cow leadership believes it is approaching a sufficiency of land-based missiles and might therefore be more receptive to a missile freeze.

'There's Still Time'

"We wouldn't expect them to

"We wouldn't expect them to lear down the old before the fiew are in and operational, anyway," one official said. "There's still time, and we're watching closely."

Analysts point out that the Soviet Union has about 220 SS-7 and SS-8 intercontinental missiles that were deployed about a decade ago. These are considered relatively obsolete and the most likely to be retired if such a course is chosen. tired if such a course is chosen.

Even if the Russians do not remove some of the older mis-siles, officials agree, this would not preclude a missile freeze. There is precedent, these spokesmen contend, for the Rus-

sians to dig silos for new mis-siles even before the missiles have been tested. Several years ago when they started to de-ploy the SS-11 intercontinental missile, of which they now have about 800, they prepared silos at both operational and test sites simultaneously. As soon as the tests were completed, they started deploying operasiderable time.
Officials believe this

policy is being followed in the case of the new silos. "It shows a lot of confidence on their part that the system will work one weapons specialist com-

mented.
One element of the new silo construction effort that puzzles analysts here is that excavaantalysis here is that excava-tions are showing up not only at SS-9 and SS-11 missile com-plexes in the Ural Mountain region, but also in an area of southwest Russia in which the Russians have stationed about 700 missiles in the 1,000 to 2,000-mile medium and inter-mediate range, and aimed at Western Europe.

China Seen as Potential Target

About a year ago the Russians began putting about 100 SS-11 missiles into this area. It is now believed that these missiles are able to hit either targets in Western Europe or more distant targets in China and the United States.

But since there are already

But since there are already so many missiles aimed at Western Europe, and because the new silos would house missiles whose warheads are probably larger than needed for European targets, some analysts suggest that the Russians simply want to take greater advantage of existing communications, radar, storage and related facilities for the new installations, thus achieving considerable financial savings.

Other analysts speculate that

Other analysts speculate that the new locations, well west of the Urals, are a defensive measure, intended to place these missiles at greater distance from missiles being developed by the Chinese Communist re-

Analysts estimate that the new Soviet missile will be able to carry either three 5-megaton warheads or six 2-megaton warheads. A megaton is equivalent to one million tons of TNT. This is the same estimate that is made for the SS-9 missile, of which the Russians have almost 300.

Officials here say there is some evidence the Russians may

some evidence the Russians may have started to replace the single 25-metagon warhead on some SS-9's with a three-part multiple warhead.

multiple warhead.
The chief cause of American concern over the larger Soviet missiles is that, if fitted with accurate MIRV warheads, they could pose a threat to the United States's 1,000 Minuteman and 54 Titan-2 land-based missiles in a first strike.

# Experts See Nuclear Arsenals in Balance

By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 20

As the United States and the Sowiet Union seek to halt some elements of the arms race, their elements of the arms race, their respective nuclear arsenals are to achieve a partial arms-limita

The Soviet Union has installed some antiballistic missiles around Moscow, while the United States has only begun preliminary work toward defensive deployments around two Minuteman complexes in the Northwest.

Next Phase Scheduled
In the next phase of negoths has made it plain that it would prefer to deal with the question of European-based nuclear delivery systems in talks that would forbid content that w

respective nuclear arsenals are to achieve a partial arms-limitation's move the next officials ment that would include missives as being in rough balance. The Soviet Union has more the build-up of large Soviet and bigger land-based intermotion in the siles, which potentially american fighter-bombers in the siles, which potentially american fighter-bombers in the siles arms force vulnerable to a first range missiles aimed at Westbombers and submarine-based missiles.

Next Phase Scheduled

In the next phase of nego-thas made it plain that it would

The Defense Secretary indicated, however, that the United States would markedly lead the Soviet Union in the total number of nuclear warheads and bombs with 4,600, compared with 2,000 for the Russians. Two or more weapons carried by each of the American B-52's make up a large share of this numerical advantage, officials explained.

#### 64 Galosh Missiles

On missile defense, the Russians have 64 Galosh antiballistic missiles deployed on the outskirts of Moscow. Though the United States has not yet deployed its Spartan and Sprint defensive missiles, it has built radar and computer facilities for such antiballistic missiles at Minuteman installations Grand Forks Air Force Base in North Dakota and Malmstrom Air Force Base in Montana.

Russian missiles, and particularly the SS-9 and the missile for the new larger silos, are estimated to be capable of carrying either three war-heads of five magatons each or six warheads of two megatons each. A megaton is equivalent to one million tons of TNT.

By comparison, the Minute-man-3 carries two or three warheads of 160 kilotons and the Poseidon missile carries 10 to 14 warheads of 40 kilotons each. A kiloton is equivalent to

1,000 tons of TNT.
While the United States MIRV's are probably accurate enough to hit Soviet missile silos, there is a question as to whether they are power-ful enough to destroy such targets. And while the Russian MIRV's are thought to be large enough, they are not considered accurate enough. But the Soviet Union will presumably im-prove accuracy in time, and the United States would not be

tration's effort to end the impasse on strategic weapons! talks, officials say, was the surprisingly rapid construction over the last six months of more than 60 larger missiles silos in the Soviet Union.

### Minuteman Danger Cited

Since American analysts believe that these silos will house an improved or a new missile carrying three or more multiple independently target-able re-entry vehicles, they argued that the 1,000-missile Minutemen force would be in increased danger unless new Soviet construction could be halted.

Their argument was based on the premise that the Russians would also place three-part MIRV warheads on the nearly 300 big SS-9 missiles in their force. There is some evidence, not yet conclusive, that his process may have bethat his process may have begun.

In March, Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird provided Congress with an assessment of where the arms balance would stand by the middle of this

Mr. Laird said that by midyear the Soviet Union would have 1,500 intercontinental missiles and the United States 1,054; the Soviet Union would have 400 submarine-based missiles and the United States 656: the Soviet Union would have 175 to 195 long-range bombers and the United States 569.

barred from making its MIRV's more powerful.

These developments, officials say, could set the stage for an agreement intended to reduce the fear of a first strike Ly substantially reducing number of missiles possessed by each side.

THE NEW YORK LAYES LAND-LAUNCHED ICBM'S 1400 1,200 1000 **66 67 68 60 70** 71 SUB-LAUNCHED MISSILES 1,000 800 60 67 68 69 70 71 LONG-RANGE BOMBERS 1000 500 66 67 68 <del>69 7</del>0

The New York Times May 21, 1971 The above graphs, superimposed on a U.S. Navy photo of Approved For Release 2000/09/08: acidonal acidon aci weapons systems expected in mid-1971. U.S. is ahead in sub-based missiles and planes, Soviet Union in ICBM's.

THE NEW YORK TIMES

## C.I.A. SAID TO DOUBT PENTAGON'S VIEW ON MISSILE THREAT

Senate G.O.P. Sources Say Agency Thinks Soviet Silos Are for Existing Arms

### PROTECTIVE STEP SEEN

Moscow Is Believed to Be 'Hardening' Installations for its SS-11's

### By JOHN W. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 25 Senate Republican sources reported today that the Central Intelligence Agency concluded that at least two-thirds of the large new silo holes recently detected in the Soviet Union were intended for the relatively small SS-11 intercontinental missile and not for a large new weapon as the Defense Department has suggested.

This assessment casts a different light on Moscow's strategic intentions at a crucial time in the negotiations with the Soviet Union to achieve some limitation on defensive and offensive strategic weapons

It now appears to some arms control specialists that the Soviet Union, rather than seeking to achieve a first-strike capability against the United States with large new missiles, is following the American course of trying to protect its missiles against attack with "hardened" silos.

### 60 New Silos Detected

Some 60 large new missiles silos in the Sowiet Union have been detected in recent months' by means of reconnaissance satellites. The C.I.A. was said to have concluded that at least two-thirds were intended for the SS-11 intercontinental missile, which is comparable to the Minuteman ICBM of the viet Union is going forward the SS-11 the liners at neither the SS-1

Some non-Governmental sources with access to Central Intelligence Agency information said that all but 15 of the new holes were situated in existing system," he said. SS-11 missile fields.

Senate Republican The sources said thay had been informed of the C.I.A. assessment by non-Governmental arms control experts who earlier had fresh intelligence informa-been briefed by the intelligence fact that the Soviet Union is to be identified by name.

The Defense Department declined today to comment on the reported C.I.A. assessment be- missile build-up continued, the cause, as a department spokes. Defense Department might find cause, as a department spokes-man put it, "We would not mentary appropriation for more have any comment on a specu- strategic weapons. lative report like that."

department still held to the said that the Soviet pressing ahead with its new interpretation that the Soviet pressing ahead with its new missile program so rapidly that test firings of an improved test firings of an improved SS-9 or an entirely new and still a program missile was a presside or an entirely new and the source of the sourc

entirely new missile system.

Much of the concern and speculation over the intended ourpose for the new silos has prung from their unusual size.

According to data obtained by the satellites, the holes were arger than those that had previously been dug for the SS-9, large intercontinental missile hat Defense Department offiials have suggested the Soviet Jnion may be deploying as a first strike" weapon against the United States's Minuteman force. This in turn gave rise to official speculation that the Soviet Union was planning to deploy an improved version of the SS-9 or perhaps an even larger,

more powerful weapon.
Senator Henry M. Jackson,
who first disclosed the detection of the new silo holes on a national television program March 7, said at the time that the Russians are now in the process of deploying a new generation, an advanced generation of offensive systems." The Washington Democrat, a member of the Senate Armed Services Committee, described the development as "ominous

indeed.' The Defense Department took a somewhat more cautious interpretation, saying that it had detected new ICBM construction but was not sure what the Soviet Union's intentions were.

But in a television appearance on March 10, Melvin R. Laird, the Secretary of Defense. said that the silo construction confirms the fact that the SoDATE LOMANTI

PAGE

"We cannot tell at this time

## Secretary Gives Warning

Then, in a speech April 22 before the American Newspaper Publishers Association, Mr. Laird said the United States invoved in a new—and apparently extensive—ICBM con-struction program."

He warned that if this Soviet

Last week, Administration of-But the spokesman said the ficials were reported to have department still held to the said that the Soviet Union was intercontinental missile or an larger missile were expected Continued on Page 4, Column 3 by this summer.

> On the basis of new intelligence information, the C.I.A. was said today to have con-cluded that the larger holes could be explained not by a Soviet move to a larger missile but by an engineering step in-tended to protect the existing Soviet missile force.

> According to the intelligence agency's analysis, the larger holes can be explained as an effort to "harden the silos, by emplacement of a concrete shell around them, to protect the weapons against the blast effects of a nuclear explosion. The larger hole is required to accommodate the concrete accommodate the concrete liners, according to the C.I.A. analysis.

### Old Missile Fields Utilized

It was said that the first evidence that the Soviet Union might be "hardening" its mis-sile sites rather than developing a new missile system appeared in the fact that the new holes were detected primarily in existing SS-11 missile fields.

If the Soviet Union was deploying a new weapon, it presumably would not situate the missile emplacements among older missiles, according to the C.I.A. view.

The conclusive piece of evidence was said to have been received early last week when reconnaissance satellite pic-tures were received showing silo liners arriving at the missile holes. The photographs were said to have indicated that

missiles, and those at the SS-9 sites did not seem intended for

weapons of altered design.
The United States started hardening its Minuteman silos some years ago as it saw the Soviet Union expanding its ICBM forces, and then began "superhardening" them as the Soviet Union began deploying the SS-9 missile.

Some arms control specialists now maintain that the Soviet Union now is turning to hardening its SS-11 and SS-9 missiles as it sees the United States deploying multiple independently targeted re-entry vehicles, or multiple warheads, known as MIRV's, which potentially could acquire the accuracy to strike precisely at Soviet missile sites.

This was a point made today before the Senate Appropriations Committee by Dr. Herbert Scoville Jr., a former of-ficial of the C.I.A. and the

Disarmament and Arms Control Agency, now chairman of the Strategic Weapons Com-mittee of the Federation of American Scientists.

A hardening of the Soviet missile sites, he observed "would not contribute to a first-strike capability and, if anything, would be an indication that a first strike was not a critical Soviet policy objective.

If it now turns out that the Soviet Union is only hardening the SS-9 and SS-II missile silos, he said, "We must ask ourselves how many times we ire going to allow the 'weap-oneers' to come before the Congress and the people shout-ing missilo gap, when in real-ity they are only creating an-other 'credibility gap.'"

# New Soviet Silo Building Seen As Protection for Two Missiles

Washington Post Staff Writer

The Pentagon said yesterday that the new, large missile later confirmed by Defense silos being built in the Soviet Secretary Melvin R. Laird. Union now appear to be designed for two different kinds of ICBMs, but conceded that more than half of the new holes may be for the relatively pletely new missile or for a small and less threatening SS-11 ICBM.

Defense officials said that new intelligence gathered since late last month indicated here of a new arms race-may threat to knocking out U.S. better protection for Russian prise attack. missiles, both the SS-11s and force.

However, Pentagon spokes-"best judgment remains that strike weapon. either new missiles or modifi-

likely prospect now is that the rate silo improvement pro-Soviets will combine their silo grams" rather than just one. hardening effort with installaeven bigger and more ominous which reduce their inside dithan the existing SS-9.

that U.S. spy satellites have siles. spotted since this February, well-informed defense officials past the Russians have insay that 20 to 25 are under stalled SS-11 ICBMs into what construction in missile fields heretofore have been bases normally associated with exist- used exclusively for shorter ing SS-9 bases and 35 to 40 at range missile. SS-11 bases. No missiles have ficials say.

Friedheim compass any missile in the So- with U.S. objectives at viet inventory.

was first made publicly on down the number of Soviet Laird and Jackson of whip-March 7 by Sen. Henry M. SS-9s to about 300.

Tarkson (D.Wash), and was a stories? based on the "whelly Jackson (D-Wash.), and was

While the Pentagon has said all along that it was not sure if the holes were for a commodification of the existing SS-9, the impression was generally created that whatever it was, it was very big. It is the SS-9, equipped with multiple that the silo building program warheads, which the Pentagon -which touched off scares has portrayed as the major be meant in part to provide Minuteman ICBMs in a sur-

There was no official indicathe huge SS-9s, against U.S. at- tion given until yesterday that tack rather than as a big ex- the new holes might be for pansion of the Soviet SS-9 protecting small ICBMs as well.

The SS-11 carries a much man Jerry W. Friedheim made smaller warhead than the SS-9 it clear that the Pentagon's and is not viewed as a first

Friedheim said that while it cations of existing missiles" was still unclear what Soviet will go into the "two separate intentions were "new infromasystems of silo improvement." | tion now available to us leads Privately, Defense officials us to conclude the Soviets say they believe the most may be involved in two sepa-

The new evidence, other tion of improved versions of sources say, was photos of difboth missiles, father than with ferent size protective concrete any completely new ICBM liners for the missile silos ameters and of different base Of some 60 new ICBM silos layouts used for the two mis-

Friedheim said that in the

Privately, Defense officials actually been installed in any conceded that the latest develof the new holes so far, the of-opments, if they do not change, are less provocative yesterday ex-than a big Soviet drive to add plained that the original de still larger missiles. Splitting tection of the new silos showed the new silos between SS-9s "diameters large enough to en- and \$\$-11s also seems to fit in strategic arms limitation talks.

By Michael Getler Disclosure of the new silos Washington hopes to hold Proxmire

The Soviets now have al-20 to 25 more in the new silos. SS-9 missiles.

Friedheim denied there had been disagreement between mire contended. "The practice the CIA and the Pentagon of selective disclosure of par-over assessing the meaning of tially analyzed intelligence the silo construction program data by the Pentagon and its in recent weeks.

Proxmire (D-Wis.) yesterday not be swept off their feet by called the episode the "the leaks designed merely to proshortest missile gap in history."

stories" based on the "wholly unproved assumption that most 288 SS-9s on the firing these holes were all designed line and presumably will add for the huge new 25-megaton

"The lesson is clear," Proxallies should stop. Congress Nevertheless, Sen. William and the American public must pagandize for a bigger and fatter military budget."

## Pentagon Says the Soviet May Have 2 New ICBM's

### By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York Times

fense Department officials said two-thirds of the large new silo today that the 60 new missile holes were intended for the silos detected in the Soviet relatively small SS-11 intercon-Union recently might be in-tinental missile and not for a tended for two new types of large new weapon, as the Deintercontinental missiles rather fense Department had suggested than one, as suggested earlier, previously.

But a Pentagon official conceded that there was still suf-about the new silos left a numficient uncertainty about this ber of questions unanswered, that a quite different assessment officials in various Government advanced yesterday could not agencies insisted that this be excluded. This assessment seeming confusion accurately was that the Russians, rather reflected the wide areas of unthan seeking to deploy new certainty that exist within the types of weapons, were actual-intelligence community. ly fashioning larger silos reinforced with concrete and other ability to withstand nuclear attack.

reacting to reports by Senate said there was no basic dis-

WASHINGTON, May 26-De-Agency had concluded that

While this latest suggestion

The Central Intelligence Agency declined to comment on features to increase the silos' reports that it differed with the Pentagon's interpretation, but officials at the Defense De-The Pentagon officials were partment and other agencies

Republican sources yesterday that the Central Titelligence that the Central Titelligence the two in the public record, stry of Defense for the two that the new siles are that the new siles are ment that the new siles are of two sizes, but that the largest Soviet missile, the SS-9, could fit into either one.

Other sources explained that the idea of two different improved or all-new missiles arose in large part from the fact that Russia was rapidly rebuilding launching silos for both the large SS-9 and the smaller SS-11 missile at the Tyuratam missile test center near the Aral Sea.

The two types of rebuilt silos at the test center, they say, appear to conform precisely to the new silos being constructed at operational SS-9 and SS-11 missile complexes in the Ural Mountains.

Qualified sources explained that when the new holes first started appearing last December, they were measured at being slightly under 30 feet in

diameter, somewhat larger than holes for the SS-9 silo. 'It was not a question of the

improved SS-9 or an entirely new missile, Pentagon officials stressed the latter two possi-bilities in most public and private statements.

Some Holes Are Larger

The new holes appeared at five of the six SS-9 complexes and at several SS-11 complexes. Within recent weeks, it was discovered that some of the holes, at both types of complexes, were two or three feet narrower than the others.

Then, more recently, intelligence reports showed that concrete liners placed inside these holes left inner cores of two different sizes. The difference between the two, sources say, is four feet.

The SS-9 has a diameter of about 10 feet, small enough to fit into either of the two silo types, officials say. The SS-11 has a diameter of roughly 6 feet.

Officials now note that from reconnaissance satellite altitudes of roughly 100 miles, it was difficult at first to discern the slight differences between the two types of excavations. The insertion of concrete missile liners, however, made clear, they said that two types of silos were involved.

But officials concede that if the majority of the new silos are designed for smaller SS-11 type missiles, this would be considered a lot less menacing than if all were used for very large missiles of the SS-9 type.

The SS-9, they explain, car-ries a nuclear warhead of 25 megatons and could carry three warheads of five megatons each, or six of two megatons each. A megaton equivalent to a million tons of TNT.

If equipped with such large multiple warheads with accuracles approaching a quarter of a mile, analysts say, the

Specification of the SS-9 missiles and to destroy the large merican minutemen missiles in a first trike. The Soviet tricks and to have just under 300 of the SS-9 missiles

missiles.

The SS-11, by way of contrast, carries a single warhead of roughly one megaton, officials note, and is not considered as much of a threat to the Minuteman. It could not carry very large multiple warheads, they conclude. The Russians reportedly have about 800 SS-11's.

When asked about the new silos at arms control talks in Vienna recently, Soviet officials reportedly told American officials not to worry, saying the silos merely represented a

"modernization" program similar to the United States modernization of the Minuteman-3.

The United States for about a year has been replacing early model Minuteman-1 missiles with the Minuteman-3, carrying two or three warheads of about 160 kilotons each. A kiloton is equivalent to 1,000 tons of TNT. One hundred silos have been equipped with the Minuteman-3, in a program calling for 550 such missiles.

In the course of rebuilding the old Minuteman silos, the United States has been adding more concrete and improved suspension systems to increase, by a factor of three, the ability of the new missiles to withstand a nearby hit.

PAGE

## Intelligence:

## I Spy, You Spy, But What Do We See?

WASHINGTON—Eleven years ago it was the "missile gap," and before that there was the "bomber gap." Two years ago there was the "first-strike threat" of large Soviet SS-9 missiles. And now there is the "big hole" threat.

all those Soviet Through threats-each one of which at the time was more presumed than real-runs a common American strand. On the basis of disturbing yet inconclusive intelligence information, the Administration

-and the Defense Department in particular-drew ominous conclusions about Soviet strategic intentions and urged a new round of weapons build-up by the United States.

The latest case in point involved the big missile silo holes that American reconnaissance satellites began detecting in the Soviet Union, starting last December. As yet, they are just holes, admittedly larger than those the Soviets have dug before, but that did not stop the Defense Department and its Congressional allies from drawing conclusions about the missiles the Soviet Union intended to put in the silos.

Senator Henry M. Jackson of Washington, who first disclosed the detection of the large new holes on a national television program, warned that the "Russians are now in the process of deploying a new generation, an advanced generation of offensive systems." Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird, on another television show, followed up by stating that the silo construction "confirms the fact that the Soviet Union is going forward with the construction of a large missile system." Coupled with these statements were warnings that the strategic balance might be tipping in favor of Moscow.

inen iast week, through Republican sources in the Senate. it came out that the Central Intelligence Agency believed that at least two-thirds of the 60 silo holes detected so far were for the Soviet SS-11. This is a relatively small intercontinental missile comparable to the United States Air Force's Minuteman, and the Defense Department has acknowledged that it is too small to present a first-strike threat to the American retaliatory force. The size of the holes, the C.I.A. surmised, could be explained by the possibility that the Soviet Union was "hardening" its missile silos against attack, just as the United States has been doing for its Minutemen.

After that disclosure, the Defense Department began retreating. The new holes, it conceded, could be for "hardening" with concrete liners. But still, the Pentagon said, they were big enough to hold two new types of missiles, or perhaps improved models of the SS-11 and SS-9. At any rate, the Defense Department admitted, the intelligence information was too inconclusive to draw definitive judgments. That was a far cry from the impression created earlier by the Defense Department, that the Soviet Union was deploying an improved version of the SS-9 or perhaps even a larger new missile aimed at a first-strike capability.

"We have just witnessed the shortest missile gap in history,"

proclaimed Sepator William Proxmire of Wisconsin, the Pentagon's gadfly. "In a month, without the United States lifting a finger or spending a dime, this missile gap was closed. The 'scare-'em' technique boomeranged."

Perhaps, as suggested by Senator Proxmire, there was just an element of politics in the selective disclosure of intelligence information about the big holes. Every spring, just as regularly as the cherry blossoms bloom on the Tidal Basin, there crop up dire new warnings about Soviet weapons with a timing that just happens to coincide with Congressional consideration of the defense budget.

The problem, however, goes deeper than political use of intelligence information, which is probably inevitable when that information has to be translated into policy and appropriations by the politicians in the Executive

the difficulty, as the Nixon Administration is coming to realize, lies in the disjointed way that intelligence is gathered and an-

In principle, the C.I.A. was set up after World War II as a nonpolicy-making agency that could provide unbiased intelligence analysis. Its director, presently Richard M. Helms, was to be the Président's principal intelligence adviser. But in practice, intelligence was never completely centralized, and the C.I.A. directors have discovered that it is impossible to divorce analysis of intelligence from policy.

The Central Intelligence Director, for example, has virtually no authority over the 3,000-man Defense Intelligence Agency, which helps explain why the C.I.A. and the Defense Department could reach such differing interpretations over the big holes.

Even if intelligence operations should be further centralizedperhaps at the White House level, as is now being considered by the Nixon Administrationthe problem would not be completely solved. The underlying difficulty is that intelligence is not a game of certainties but of conjectures. As in the case of the big holes, certain conjectures must be drawn on the basis of limited, circumstantial facts, and inevitably the conclusions tend to reflect the philosophical outlook and responsibilities of the policymaker.

With a responsibility for national security, the Defense Secretary has a natural tendency to choose the most pessimistic among the range of conjectures reached from agreed-upon but limited intelligence facts. That is what Mr. Laird did when he projected two years ago that the Soviet Union would deploy 500 SS-9's by 1975, and what he did

o, or of

when he saw the pictures of the big holes.

The difficulty is that this kind of approach can lead to a selffulfilling form of "worst case" analysis, in which the worst that is assumed about Soviet intentions comes true because of the American reaction - or vice versa. Thus, the United States sees a "missile gap" and starts rapidly deploying them on land and on sea. The Soviet Union then starts deploying missiles at a great rate until it has more land-based missiles than the United States, which starts talk of another missile gap when those big holes are spotted.

Testifying last week before the Senate Appropriations Committee, Dr. Herbert Scoville Jr., former Deputy Director for Research of the C.I.A., said that if it now turns out that the Soviet Union is putting SS-11's in the big holes "then we must ask ourselves how many times are we going to allow the 'weaponeers' to come before Congress, shouting 'missile gap' and 'technology gap,' when in reality they are only creating another 'credibility gap,' through selective dis-closure of partially analyzed intelligence, in order to panic the country into expensive weapons programs."

That question is now beginning to be asked in Congress, which is far less gullible and more sophisticated than it was a decade ago, when it was willing to assume the unproved worst about Soviet intentions. Perhaps there is also a change in attitude down at the White House, where the President is willing to accept the possibility of an agreement limiting defensive ABM systems despite all the Pentagon talk about those Soviet offensive missiles. This change of attitude can probably be more important than any reorganization of intelligence agencies in preventing the Executive Branch and Congress from seeing missiles in holes where none yet exist.

-JOHN W. FINNEY

# CHINA'S ICBM TEST SAID TO BE CLOSER

Analysts Expect Firing Into Indian Ocean—Work on Solid Fuel Reported

## By WILLIAM BEECHER Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 30—China, whose long-range missile program has lagged behind American predictions, appears on the verge of two important breakthroughs, in the view of some analysts here.

China is rapidly getting into position to test-fire its first liquid-fuel intercontinental ballistic missile beyond its borders, probably into the Indian Ocean, they say.

In addition, China has built a solid-fuel production plant and is developing a solid-fuel ICBM,

Is developing a solid-fuel ICBM, the analysts say.

The preparations for the launch beyond China's borders include the outfitting of a special tracking ship and the extension of missile range tracking stations in Sinkiang Province. But political considerations, the analysts say, may cause the Chinese to defer such testing for several months.

A senior weapons expert says that it is far from clear whether China will follow the pattern of the United States and the Soviet Union and first deploy liquid-fuel ICBM's before moving to solid-fuel weapons, or will start with the more stable solid-fuel system.

It is estimated that it will probably take at least three years, after initial tests, for China to move to its first group of operational ICBM's. The technology of solid fuels as well as liquid fuels should be mastered by that time, the weapons specialist suggested.

Most analysts agree that China is capable of launching an intercontinental missile any time it chooses. Some intelligence experts believe China has already done so, although on a shorter course.

Late last year, they say, China fired a three-stage missile from a new launch site in northeast Manchuria into western Sinkiang Province, over a 2,200 mile course. Some analysts on the basis of the size

of the stages as seen in reconnaissance photos and other evidence, calculated that the missile could have been fired at least 3,500 miles, which would have carried it out over India into the Indian Ocean.

In arms-control talks, the United States and Russia have defined an ICBM as any ballistic missile that can travel over

3,000 miles.

Defense Secretary Melvin R.
Laird told Congress in March
about the probability of China's
capacity to launch such a missile when he said: "The start
of testing has not yet been
confirmed, but a reduced range
test of an ICBM may have occurred late in 1970."

Analysts say the 2,200-mile
shot was believed to have been

Analysts say the 2,200-mile shot was believed to have been made with a liquid-fuel missile similar to the rockets that China used to orbit a 381-pound satelite in April, 1970, and a 486-pound satelite in March.

The first out-of-country tests are expected to range between 3,500 and 4,500 miles. The Indian Ocean is considered the most likely landing point, but some analysts say that a test-firing into the Pacific Ocean is not ruled out.

For several months China has been equipping the 12,000-ton freighter Hsian Yang Hung in a shipyard near Canton with space tracking and telemetry

devices, sources say. One analyst says the work has been completed and that the vessel sailed into the Indian Ocean recently on a cruise to familiarize the crew with the ship and her special gear.

Analysts point out that the United States and the Soviet Union normally employ several telemetry ships to monitor their own and each other's missile shots. The job can be done—but not as well—with one such vessel, they say.

Officials suggest that both the Soviet Union and the United States will probably send more telemetry ships to monitor the Chinese tests than China will have available.

But many analysts say that Peking is so anxious to get admitted to the United Nations this fall that it is likely to defer its first ICBM test until after that issue has been resolved.

A minority view is that Peking will go ahead and test an ICBM as soon as it feels technologically ready. Politically, analysts say, this could convince some countries that a nation moving into superpower weapons status ought not be excluded from the world body.

China is not believed to have tested a solid-fuel missile, but analysts say that the building of a production facility shows China is serious about this effort, All of America's

land and submarine-based ICBM's are powered by solid fuel, with the exception of 54 old Titan12 missiles. The Soviet Union has been working on solid fuel development but to date has deployed only about 100 SS-13 weapons using this propellant.

Analysts say China has successfully tested three-megaton thermo-nuclear devices believed to be destined for its ICBM. The current estimate is that China could have a force of 10 to 25 such missiles with a 6,000

mile range by the mid-1970's.

Defensively, analysts say the force might be sufficient from China's viewpoint to deter an attack by either Russia or the United States by threatening to retaliate against major population centers. Offensively, if China should be engaged in a struggle in the Far East in which either of the superpowers were tempted to intervene, the analysts say Peking might warn that it would consider resorting to a first strike aimed at Russian or American cities.

## **Study Finds** SS-9 Warheads Lack Accuracy

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

A new study sponsored by the Pentagon and CIA estimates that multiple warheads flight-tested thus far with the giant Soviet SS-9 intercontinental misare not accurate enough to knock out U.S. Minutemen ICBMs in a surprise attack, according to informed government sources.

Furthermore, the study is said to estimate that the warhead accuracy probably cannot be improved enough with the techniques now being used to achieve a first-strike capability.

The study, which was completed in April, was carried out for the government by TRW Inc., a large defense contractor in California with an excellent technical reputation.

Informed officials say there is no evidence that the Soviets have flight-tested any new kind of multiple warhead for the SS-9 beyond those discussed in the study.

While some additional tests of the big missile are expected later this year, officials say they are uncertain whether these flights will reveal a new and more accurate version of the SS-9 or will merely be tests of existing missiles launched from protective silos the Soviets are building.

In any event, some government weapons analysts view the new study as lessening still further Pentagon fears that by 1975 the Soviets could deal a surprise knock-out to all but a handful of America's 1,000-missile Minuteman force.

Last year, TRW made a similar technical assessment of the SS-9 for the Pentagon. In that study, officials say the firm gave a "lukewarm" endorsement, based on earlier SS-9 testing, to the idea that the Soviet triplet warheads could be of the MIRV type in heads can he sport veda Forar larget before it is launched ate Minuteman with with elease 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2 enough accuracy to knock it

The new study, officials say, Less Powerful Weapons reverses that earlier opinion that MIRVs were involved.

Weapons experts in a number of government agencies, including the Pentagon, estimate that it would take the Soviets two to three more years to perfect and begin deployment of a more accurate MIRV. It would then take several more years to equip the entire force of SS-9s, which now numbers about 288.

#### Agreement Sought

The Pentagon has estimated that the Soviets would need. some 450 such MIRV-equipped missiles to wipe out the Minuteman force. At the Strategic Arms Limitations Talks, the United States is trying to work out an agreement that would limit the SS-9s to about

The new study also appears to contradict recent Pentagon estimates that the Soviets will have a MIRV "capability" in 1972. However, some officials say it is true that the current Soviet multiple warhead system could be viewed as a MIRV, except that it is not a very good one.

The Soviets are said to use a system of small rails inside the nose cone of the SS-9 to launch the three warheads to separate targets that are reasonably close together. By varying the time each warhead moves down these rails. the missiles can be made to land in a pattern that has, in tests, resembled the layout of Minuteman silos.

This, at first, led some analysts to believe that the Soviets were developing a MIRV to attack Minuteman in a surprise first strike.

Now, however, it has apparently been concluded that the technique is both inaccurate and also inflexible because the Minuteman patterns widely.

The U.S. MIRV now being deployed on the Minuteman and Poseidon submarines is more sophisticated, using a so-called "space bus" with its own guidance system to target each warhead accurately in the bus to a widely separated

The U.S. MIRVs, however, are only a fraction as powerful as the huge Soviet weapons, and the Pentagon has declared that this lack of nuclear punch also means that Minutemen are no threat to Soviet missiles buried in underground silos.

On Capitol Hill yesterday, the SS-9 also figured in sharp questioning of high-ranking Pentagon officials by Sen. Stuart Symington (D-Mo.)

Symington, at an open session of a Senate Foreign relations subcommittee on dis-

armament, claimed that Pentagon witness were saying different things about a possible U.S.-Soviet agreement at. SALT than had the chief U.S. negotiator, Gerard Smith, before the same committee in a closed hearing on Tuesday.

Appearing at yesterday's session was Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and Dr. John S. Foster Jr., the Pentagon's chief scientist.

Both officials, under questioning, said that any SALT agreement must include simultaneous limitation on offensive missiles as well as ABM defense systems.

"Your position," Symington

said to Foster, "is not the same as Smith's." "Symington said he understood Smith to say in closed session that the hoped for SALT agreement would provide for an ABM agreement while talks continue on the offensive weapons question. Foster said it was his understanding that "any controls would go in simultaneously."

Symington pressed Foster to say if Smith's interpreta-tion was "right or wrong" Foster hesitated, then said he did not feel it was helpful "to get engaged in semantics."

Foster said he did not think there were any differences in his understanding of the

hoped for agreement and Smith's, although defense officials later conceded privately that it was not yet clear if the Soviets completely understood or agree to U.S. goals on limiting offensive missiles.

After Moorer mentioned the SS-9 threat against the "survivability of our ICBMs," Symington, who is also a member of the Armed Services Committee — including the CIA subcommittee — said he did not agree with "the assessment that the SS-9 was accurate enough for a first strike."

CIA - DEFENSE

DATE AND

PAGE

## Russian Missile Spread Exceeds U.S. Estimate

By ORR KELLY Star Staff Writer

The Soviet Union is moving taking the SS-9 or even a larger ahead more racidly than had been expected in construction of dling a missile of about the size silos for what appears to be two of the smaller SS-11.

Fast Work Pace Pentagon sources who declined to discuss the new missile.

But Dr. John S. Foster Jr., director of Defense Research and Engineering, replied:
". . in principle, I think one could not say that it is not possi ble for them to deploy 70 of these new large ICBM silos this year. However, it would be a very high rate of starts.

#### 70 Sites Seen Possible

It now appears, according to Pentagon sources, that the numof this year will be close to the mal statement by the governhigh figure of 70 cited by Jackson.

time, have apparently ended to Congress in January of next their planned deployment of the SS-9 missile and its little brother, the SS-11, which is a weapon tiations may have resulted in of about one megation, roughly agreement and, if not, decisions the size of the American Minute-

The total number of Soviet made. ICBM's deployed at mid-year is believed to be about 1,550. This about force is made up of slightly less ICBM's than the United States. than 300 SS-9's, a total of more The U.S. is well ahead of the than 900 SS-11's and SSS-13's and Soviets, however, in adding mul-

no missiles have been seen in to its missiles, association with the new silos The U.S. is association with the new silos
and tests that have been observed have not dispelled the by submarines and in the nummystery surrounding the new ber of strategic bombers.

holes in the ground. They appear to be of two sizes, one capable of

gon sources said today.

The existence of the new silos
— some of them apparently designed for a missile at least as large, or larger, than the 25 megaton SS9—was first revealed in early March by Senator Hengrian But this only reflects a ry M. Jackson, D-Wash.

In a Senate hearing on April rather than new starts.

The unexpectedly rapid pace of deployment of the new silos.

19, he said the deployment rate might approach the 70 silos this of deployment of the new silos, on the other hand, indicates a continuing buildup rather than simply completion of work al-

ready under way.

Some new silo construction has been detected since the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks resumed in Helsinki, Finland on July 8. But Pentagon sources said it could not be said with certainty that the construction had actually begun after that

In previous years, information on the progress of Soviet missile ber of silos to be placed under development has been made construction by the Soviets between fall of 1970, when work apparently started, until the fall press conferences. But no for this year will be also to the ment on current Soviet missile progress is expected to be made But the Soviets, at the same until the annual defense report

> By that time, the SALT negoon how to react to the Soviet developments will have been

The Soviet Union now has 500 more land-based between 300-400 older missiles, tiple warheads — designed to So far, Pentagon sources said, penetrate a defensive system —



# Chinese **Deploying** A-Missiles

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

New evidence gathered by U.S. intelligence indicates that Communist China has begun to deploy a small number of nuclear-tipped, medium range hallistic missiles (MRBMs), according to informed government sources.

The missiles have an estimated range of about 1,000 miles, far too short to threaten U.S. territory, but enough to reach some military installations and one or two large cities in the Soviet Union as well as other targets in Asia, including Japan and Taiwan.

Deployment of operational MRBMs by the Chinese has been anticipated for some time at the Pentagon, but evidence that emplacement had actually started was only recently obtained.

The number of operational missiles spotted so far by U.S. reconnaissance satellites is said to be quite small—fewer than 20.

Defense Department officials say they are reluctant to draw any conclusions-based on this small initial deployment-regarding how many of these missiles the Chinese will eventually field. Officials say they still believe that Peking is placing more emphasis on development of an intermediate-range ballistic missile, one that would have a range about twice that of the MRBMs now being deployed.

A missile able to fly 2,000 miles would enable China to situate these weapons well back from its own borders, providing some safety against attack if their locations were not pinpointed by an enemy. Such rockets would also be able to reach many more of the Soviet Union's heartland cities, adding to their deterrent effect.

### MISSILE, From A1

Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird estimated in March-that the Chinese would have "a modest number" of both types of missiles by mid-1972.

The Chinese nuclear arsenal, which also includes a number of light and medium jet bombers, is still miniscule compared with that of the Soviet Union. As some defense analysts view things, however, the Chinese are approaching a point where the Soviets could no longer be certain they would escape nuclear retalia-tion entirely if they staged a

surprise attack on China.

While U.S. officals stress
that they view such a conflict as highly unlikely, the Soviet press did carry hints of a preemptive nuclear strike or near the border, but that a against China and its nuclear facilities during the heated border dispute between the two nations in the late summer of 1969.

Aside from its fledgling missile force, the Chinese have about 150 20-year-old light bombers supplied by the Soviets before relations between the two countries soured in 1960, and more than 30 of the and those lines are considered more modern TU-16 medium bombers which can reach taron their own last year.

the small force of nuclear officials say. weapons.

problems and internal upheavals in China delayed their emplacement for several more years. Some officials hint, however, that the vastness of the country and the fact that the Chinese do not always deploy their weapons where U.S. or Soviet planners might expect them to, also caused problems and delay for U.S. photo intelligence analysts trying to find the missiles.

In addition to the nuclear weapons developments, officials also say that both the Soviet Union and China are continuing a slow but steady buildup of their conventional fighting forces along and near their 4200-mile border.

Officials say the Russians now have about 40 divisions at number of these are not at full strength.

Most recent efforts at strengthening this force, officials say, have been aimed at adding supplies and supporting equipment rather than bringing in still more fighting troops. The Soviets in the border area are highly dependent on rail links to move supplies, to be vulnerable to attack.

The Chinese are also said to gets 1,500 miles away. The have recently redeployed ar-Chinese began procuring them mies closer to the border and farther toward the north and According to some defense north central regions of the officials the Chinese are also country. However, the Chinese said to be reasonably good at tend not to concentrate major concealing the whereabouts of forces right near the border,

the continuing Despite The Pentagon first pre- buildup, U.S. officials believe dicted deployment of Chinese the chances for an outbreak of medium-range missiles back in hostilities between the two na-1967, but numerous technical tions remain slight. Approved For Release 2000/09/08 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

THE WASHINGTON POST

## DATE AVE 8 PAGE

# Russians Building New Missile Silos As Limit Is Sought

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

The number of new underground missile silos now known to be under construction in the Soviet Union has risen to nearly 80, according to highly placed U.S. offi-

Work on about six and possibly a few more of these ICBM silos, it is estimated, was started after the dramatic

joint announcement by President Nixon and the Soviet leadership on May 20 that the two countries would seek an initial agreement this year on limiting the arms race by putting restrictions on both offensive and defense nuclear weapons.

U.S. officials remain optimistic that such an agreement will be reached. But, they add, the dimensions of the Soviet silo construction program as it continues to be unfolded by U.S. picture-taking reconnaissance satellites — is causing increasing concern within the administration and among U.S. negotiators at the Strategic Arms Limitations (SALT) talks at Helsinki.

The main U.S. goal at SALT is to freeze the number of nuclear-tipped ICBMs in each nation's arsenal at a level that would make a surprise attack unlikely.

If such a freeze is negotiated, officials explain that it will probably be tied to some future cutoff date beyond which neither nation could add any more land-based ICBMs to its force.

The fact that the Russians now have about 80 new silos in various stages of construction - and possibly more as yet undetected - is making the setting of that cutoff date increasingly important from the U.S. viewpoint.

The question is how many of these silos - particularly those designed to hold the mammoth SS-9 type ICBM the United-State is willing fore an agreement is no longer considered safe and acceptable to the United States.

Despite the fact that more w silo construction keeps towing up on satellite picres, U.S. officials said that he impact on U.S. security and on the SALT talks is not as ominous as the numers alone might indicateleast at this time.

One factor is that late in lay, when the count of new los had reached about 60, the entagon confirmed a press port which revealed that vo-thirds of the new silos ere probably for the much maller Soviet SS-11 ICBM, ather than the SS-9. The SS-11 of which the Soviets aleady have about 900, is condered to be not nearly accurte or powerful enough to nock out U.S land-based Miniteman ICBMs. This twofairds ratio has not changed ince May.

### Llajor Objective

A major U.S. objective since the SALT talks began in 1969 l as been to keep the number f the more threatening SS-9s rom going much beyond 300. )fficials say this is still the oal. The Russians now have bout 288 of these big missiles, aving halted construction on 8 silos late last year, appearntly to wait for the more nodern ones now being built.

Based upon the number of new silos spotted thus far and the ratios cited, about 24 to 30 of the new holes could be for 3S-9-type missiles. Thus, while limiting the number of these

ng point by the U.S., the toals are still not much beyond :00.

Thus far, no missiles have been installed in any of the new silos, officials say, and there is still no sign that any large new ICBM or greatly improved version of the SS-9 has been flight tested. The existing version of the SS-9 and versions carrying three multiple warheads tested thus far are not considered to be accurite enough to knock out the U.S. Minuteman force, as was

once feared. Should the Soviets eventually develop a much more accurate multi-headed version of the SS-9 or a new missile, then the number of these weapons in their inventory becomes extremely important if the 1,000missile U.S. Minuteman force is not to be truly threatened.

#### More Confident

sive weapons.

for ICBMs is, in general, perts. viewed as less provocative than simply building more ICBMs of the type that would normally be used only in a first strike or surprise attack.

The U.S. is taking similar measures to build more blast resistant silos for 550 of the 1,000-missile Minuteman force. The U.S. is also rapidly reequipping the hundreds of the single-warhead Minutemen with two to three warheads: each, a move which a number of arms control advocates believe provoked the new Soviet building program.

The new Soviet silo effort is believed to have got underway last winter, but it was first detected by the U.S. early this vear.

### Revealed by Jackson

Sen. Henry M. Jackson (D. Wash.), who first broke the news that the Soviets were building huge new ICBM silos on TV early in March, ICBM has since estimated that the Soviets could have 70 silos by this fall. Officials explain the fact that they already have about 80 by saying that earlier the United State is willing to ilos to be completed will no about 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that earlier see complete will not 80 by saying that 80 by saying that 80 by saying that 80 by saying that 80 by saying complete satellite coverage

and without knowing how

much had already been done.

Also, some Pentagon sources say that for some time, the U.S. didn't think to look in the SS-11 bases to see of new silos were also being built there.

New Soviet tests of defensive weapons are also causing concern to U.S. officials, though not as much as the ICBM silo problems.

#### Testing Stepped Up

Officials say that in the past six months, the Soviets have stepped up testing of new ABM radars and two new ABM interceptor missiles at the Soviet test complex at Sary Shagan in south central Russia.

Of the new missiles being tested, informants say one is longer-range than the current Soviet Galosh ABM missile Officials say with increased now deployed around Moscow. though not complete-con- The other is shorter-range fidence that the silo construct than Galosh but does not aption program appears to be an pear to be the speedy type of effort by the Russians to build Sprint missile which the US. better, more protective silos Safeguard ABM system will for their missiles, either cur- use to try to catch any incoment or modified versions, ing ICBMs that get past the rather than one designed for a longer-range Spartan intercepcompletely new class of offen-tors. the potential use of the shorter-range Russian ABM Building better protection remains a puzzle to U.S. ex-

ABM

Approved For Release 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

THE WASHINGTON POST

DATE AUG 11 17 PAGE A-3

## Soviets Test Near-Orbital Rocket Again

The Pentagon announced yesterday that the Soviet Union made another test firing Sunday of its Fractional Orbital Bombardment System, a long-range nuclear-tipped rockt that rises to the fringe of space but is brought back to earth just before completing one orbit.

Since 1966, the Russians have made at least 17 tests of the FOBS, and U.S. intelligence experts now consider the weapon to be an operational part of the Soviet arsenal.

The test last Sunday—called Cosmos 433—was launched from the Soviety missile center at Tyuratam, and landed just north of the Caspian Sea after a 90-minute flight that took the missile over China, South America and Africa. The flight was the first this year and is viewed by weapons analysts as a training exercise for crews.

Pentagon officials say the FOBS could carry a single warhead of 3 megatons or larger, but the weapon is viewed as less accurate and powerful than an ICBM and has thus not appealed to U.S. planners as worth deat.

planners as worth developing.
Because FOBS does not complete an entire orbit, the weapon does not technically violate the treaty banning weapons orbiting in space. A number of U.S. officials, however, view the technique as violating the spirit of the treaty.

R



## George C. Wilson

## Time for Alarm

SECRETARY DEFENSE Melvin R. Laird at his press conference yesterday substance to the Pentagon wise crack of the day before that "Laird will have trou-ble saying the Russians are coming now that Nixon is going.'

The reference, of course, was to President Nixon's announcement on Tuesday that he will go to Moscow next May to enhance "the prospects of world peace." Once his boss had said that, Laird could not complain very loudly about Soviet advances in weaponry.
The defense secretary

The defense Bet.

while visibly exercising restraint in what he said at his press conference, did manage to make headlines by declaring the Soviets will catch up with the United States in missile submarines in 1973 instead of 1975. But here, too, Laird has a prob-

Back in the McNamara years, the catechism of the arms theologians was that the sooner the Soviets put their nuclear-tipped missiles underground or under the sea in submarines—the bet-ter. Missiles lying out in the open, went the argument, were so vulnerable that Moscow in a crisis would be tempted to fire them before they could be knocked out by Washington. Our Polaris submarines,

the arms specialists said, were a stabilizing force be-cause they could not be destroyed in a surprise attack and thus would not be fired impulsively—only in a calculated response to a first strike by the other side. Also, missiles fired from submarines do not have pickel-barrel accuracy and thus could not destroy ICBMs buried underground. stroyed in a surprise attack ICBMs buried underground. Submarines, then, have been portrayed for a long time as "second-strike" weapons.

THEORETICALLY, news that the Soviet Union is fol-lowing the United States in building a second-strike missile submarine force should be comforting to those be comforting to those trying to walk the world back from an Armageddon of nuclear incineration. Yet, Laird at his press

conference yesterday did not talk that way at all. He said the American people would not tolerate the Soviet Union ringing this country with missile-carrying submarines the same way the United States has ringed the Soviets. He made the points that Soviet Russia is a closed society, the United States an open one and that there would be "political" problems from a big Soviet submarine force.

It was a confusing explan-ation—one that seemed to conflict with the carefully stated case of the past for second-strike weapons. His concern about the Soviet submarine buildup begins to make sense only when it is examined in the context of

the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks (SALT).

President Nixon pressed hope Tuesday that the United States and Soviet Union through SALT will have reached agreement before the May summit meeting on limiting offensive ICBMs and defensive antiballistic-missile (ABM) systems. There is a good chance, however, that submarines will not be included in the agreement.

As matters stand now, the Soviet Union under such an agreement would have more ICBMs at the ready than the United States. The Soviets have passed the United States in numbers. Nobody expects Russia to throw away ICBMs to make things even with the United States. That is why Laird and others in the Nixon administration use the term "suffi-ciency" when talking about ICBMs, not "superiority."

MR. NIXON'S strategists believe the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Congress would accept a missile gap as long as it is demonstrated that the United States has all the nuclear killing power it needs and that building more ICBMs would not provide any additional security. A mutual freeze on ABM construction also is seen saleable politically.

But would the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Congress account on for of the staff and the Congress account on for of the staff and the Congress account.

gress accept—on top of a missile gap—a ring of Soviet missile submarines around the United States? That is one of the big unknowns as Laird and others assess the politics of SALT.

Therefore, even though it does not make strategic sense to scream about the Soviet submarine buildupgiven their second strike character — it may make political sense to try to talk Russia out of going ahead full speed with submarine

construction.

Thus the politics of SALT, budget problems and the fear of many admirals, generals and politicians that Mr. Nixon is giving away too much in strategic weaponry make this the season for sounding the alarm about Soviet submarines and other strategic weaponabout ry-Moscow trip or not.

# Laird Warns of a Soviet Missile Buildup

## Far Exceeding His Earlier Estimates

By WILLIAM BEECHER Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 13-Secretary of Defense Melvin R. Laird expressed concern today over what he said was a continuing Soviet buildup of landbased and sea-based missiles. This buildup, which he said is already "far outdistancing" the estimates he offered Congress seven months ago,

While the United States still enjoys a lead in the quality of its strategic weapons, he said, there is no assurance that the Russians may not overtake this

advantage.

He stressed the potential political problem if the Russians were in position one day to ring the United States with a larger force of missile submarines than the United States

In a 40-minute news conference at the Pentagon, Mr. Lard disclosed plans for a visit to South Vietnam in early November to provide President Nixon

ber to provide President Nixon with an appraisal of the military situation before the next troop withdrawal announcement. Accompanying the Sectement. Accompanying the Sectement and the Indianying th tion effort, the Russians would match the size of the American Polaris fleet of operational submarines.

Number is scheduled by Dec. 1.

to 184,000 by Dec. 1.

Administration sources have been suggesting for several months that the Presiden systems, which are believed to marines.



Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird tells of plans.

30,000 to 50,000 advisers and Soviet Union.

support forces by next summer.
Whether such a force should

While Mr. Laird dealt only with concerns about the Soviet Union's drawing a breast of the American Polaris submarine force, he is known to share with other officials an even greater worry. This is that a reported doubling of the production facilities at the prinicipal Soviet missile submarine yard at Severodvinsk, on the White Sea, suggests a Soviet intention of outstripping the American missile submarine fleet over the next few years, unless an arms control agreement can be worked out to

would like to reduce this to be far superior to those of the

On another subject, Mr. Laird said there was a "mistake in the field" on the handling of a Whether such a force snown include fighter-bomber squadrons, helicopter companies and artillery battalions is one of the major questions still to be decided, the sources say.

Expansion of Yard Reporter

said there with the handling of a the field" on the handling of a the field on the field on the handling of a the field on the field on the handling of a letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after handling of a letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after handling of a letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after handling of a letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after handling of a letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. after he was capulated by the Vietcong The letter written by S. Sgt. John Sexton Jr. ganda leaflet. The Pentagon was not told that the letter was in

> the sergeant's handwriting, Mr. Laird said, and as a result Sergeant Sexton's parents were merely told it was possible their son was a prisoner, but that he was still being carried as missing in action.

> Mr. Laird said he had ordered all propaganda-leaflet files to be reviewed to see if there were other such cases. He said such mistakes should not be repeated.

prevent such a development.

The Russians are known to have balked at a United States

# Laird Says Soviet Rushes Sub Fleet

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

Defense Secretary Melvin R. on further U.S. troop with-Laird warned yesterday that drawals from Vietnam. the Soviet Union's growing It will be Laird's fourth trip fleet of missile-firing subma- to the war zone. Both the derines would match the size of fense chief and the President the U.S. undersea missile have sought to discourage force "at least one year" earlier than he had previously predicted.

Laird had estimated in his annual defense report to Congress in March that the Soviets would pull abreast of the 41-submarine U.S. Polaris-Po-

seidon fleet by 1974.

Laird also announced at a Pentagon news conference that he would visit Vietnam early next month, together with Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, in advance of President Nixon's scheduled announcement in mid-November

speculation on what is coming next in Vietnam with Laird warning that reporters "may be surprised." Unofficial indications now are that U.S. forces will be down from the current 210,000 men to 30,000 to 50,000 men by mid-1972.

Yesterday, however, Senate Republican Leader Hugh Scott said he believes the President's forthcoming statement will contain "decisive" changes in Vietnam policy well beyond routine troop withdrawal announcements.

Scott said it was his personal opinion that by next in Vienna next month, and of the huge arsenals already summer all American troops "the discussions that Presi-on both sides. will be out with the exception dent Nixon will be having of air support forces, and even those may be out if POWs have been freed.

Scott said he based his comments on "a feeling that I get he would visit Moscow in May Pentagon seemed to be more meetings," rather than on any inside information.

At the Pentagon, Laird laid heavy emphasis, as he has several times in recent months, on the continuing buildup of Soviet strategic nuclear weapons, and on new submarine construction in particular.

Soviet land-based missiles already outnumber U.S. ICBMs by about 1,550 to 1,054. Suspicions that the Soviets may be attempting to gain numerical superiority in submarine-borne missiles, too, is causing concern among administration officials trying to work out an arms limitation agreement with Moscow.

Laird said the growing Soviet sub fleet was causing as much political as military concern.

"I believe that we would be placed at a very great political disadvantage if the Soviet Union were able to ring the U.S. with a vastly superior Polaris-type fleet off all our laris-type fleet off all our United States into numerical tion on more than 90 new coasts and outdistance us by a inferiority in both land and ICBM silos since early this

Laird stressed that both the difference in numbers did not SALT talks, which will resume mean much militarily because with the Soviet leadership are disagreement between the indeed very important."

congressional leadership to discuss a variety of subjects alarmed about the Soviet with Soviet leaders, President Nixon said that if a SALT agreement is not reached before then, the arms race would certainly be on his agenda. However, he also added that the question of SALT "may be behind us at that point."

The two superpowers have agreed to try to reach an agreement by the end of this year. However, several top-level planners have reported that the Soviets are reluctant to include submarines in any initial agreement. It is possible that Laird's remarks yesterday may mean that the President, in May, may be discussing a second-step agreement to cover missile-firing submarines.

Administration officials have said privately on several occasions that the President and Congress would face tough political problems here and abroad in backing any agreement that froze the United States into numerical

Laird said that there "is no President and myself," when In announcing Tuesday that | reporters suggested that the buildup than the President.

Laird said the United States still has technological superiority over the Soviets in strategic weaponry, but that he wants it understood that the Soviets could catch up, and that when they do they might get the advantage because they are starting with more and bigger weapons-such as the huge SS-9 ICBM- to begin with.

Laird said he believed the American people would accept a position of strategic parity but not one of inferiority.

U.S. missile-firing submarines have been ringing the coasts of the Soviet Union for years, and the U.S. Polaris and Posedion missiles can reach targets twice as distant as their Soviet counterparts.

The United States has also been adding multiple warheads to both land-based and sea-based missiles, while the Soviets have begun construc-

Approved For Release 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

PAGE 4

## Soviet Said to Test Satellites That Hunt And Destroy Others

LONDON, Oct. 23 (UPI)—The Soviet Union has been testing earth satellites that approach and destroy other spacetraft, the authoritative publication, Jane's All the World's Aircraft, and the world's Aircraft, said this week.

In its latest edition Jane's listed a series of launchings of Soviet spacecraft and satellites, describing some of them as "orbital intercept tests."

John W. R. Taylor, editor of the publication, said the Soviet satellite Cosmos 397, launched

stellite Cosmos 397, launched last Feb. 25, passed near Cosmos 394, launched 16 days earlier, "and was subsequently destroyed, in an explosion.

Cosmos 400, launched March 19, was "intercepted" by Cosmos 404 on April 3, the day it was launched, Mr. Taylor said.

In a preface Mr. Taylor said the United States maintained satellites in stationary positions over the mid-Pacific, including one with "a fantastic 11-ton

one with "a fantastic 11-ton reconnaissance camera," to monitor the launches of Soviet and Chinese long - range mis-

siles.
"Little wonder that the U.S.
Secretary of Defense is able to give such accurate assessments of Soviet intercontinen-tal ballistic missile deployment and new types of weapons that have been identified," he said.

Mr. Taylor said that national policies of "peace through fear" seemed to work and would continue as long as both sides knew enough about the other's destructive capability to be deterred from hasty mili-

tary moves.

Despite "one and a half

Despite fovernment indedecades of Government inde-cision and wrong decision," Mr. Taylor said, "Britain still retains the most competent and comprehensive aircraft industry in Europe."

He was less optimistic about

new developments on the part of the British air industry. "Apart from the multirole combat aircraft and, of course, the Concorde, one looks in vain for much that it is new and challenging among British aircraft," Mr. Taylor said.

WASH PETT



## Joseph Alsop

## The Balance of Power

A SINGLE QUESTION is few weeks ago. One of the can already foretell the repower in the old way, like one But that is ended now.

the single key question of the tested, inside the Soviet Union greatly tempt the Soviets if moment simply because the and at relatively short range.

Soviets are making such enor Only long range tests will have thought they were acting the soviets are making such enor only long range tests will have thought they were acting have inought they were acting mous efforts to tilt the world ishow with certainty what the within a favorable balance of balance of power in their detailed characteristics of power, they have always favor. These efforts are being these new missiles may be ended by seeking their namade in every area, notably But the initial results are disbuttality. This is the Pavlovincluding conventional naval turbing, to say the least aspect. It makes an interesting power, But, the simplest meas Major improvements are indicalculation.

ure is the Soviet effort in the cated, with five huge wararea of nuclear-strategic heads on the new SS-9, for power.

The Los Angeles Times instance.

The chief scientist of the Pentagon, Dr. John S. Foster, two other quite novel elements has been under bitter attack. in the pattern. First, new Dr. Jeremy Stone and a good naval construction and launchmany other misguided Ameri- ing facilities indicate one of can scientists have formed a two possibilities. Either the powerful lobby primarily Soviets mean to have more of aimed, so far as one can see, their Yankee-class nuclear to subordinating American submarines by 1973-74 than we has been a prime target—as a dreadful pessimist, as an advocate of "the worst case," as an occate of the worst case," as an the Polaris-Poseidon class. country's perils.

TT IS INTERESTING, ther that Dr. Foster has now been proved dead wrong on the optimistic side. Last January and/or satellite destruction. when the Soviets renewed active deployment of their giant knows that 95 per cent of counter-force weapons, the SS-9S, and other intercontine Soviets weapons development ental missiles, Dr. Foster and military deployment is rather confidently predicted owed to the U.S. reconnaissthat the maximum number of ance satellites.

Minuteman, but more power-ing us. full; about 30 advanced-model The weapon used was a more targets than the early erful apparatus for correcting model); and six or seven out its course in flight, and for tirely new type.

of the super-brutes, began a hinded in this manner, one

truly haunting at the close of more curious features of this sponse of Dr. Jeremy Stone a long and arduous journey to year's silo-digging program— and many other high level the Middle East and Asia. The in fact pointing to a crash pro- American thinkers. question is whether the Soviet gram—was the Soviet failure To the returning traveller, Union is still likely to respond to pre-test the new missiles all this gives food for thought to changes in the balance of that will go into the new silos. for two rather simple reasons.

IN ADDITION, there are strategic policy to Soviet stra- have Polaris-Poseidon submartegic policy. Of this dubious ines. Or they mean to have scientific lobby, John Foster large numbers of very fast at-

Secondly, the Soviets ran a IT IS INTERESTING, then major series of exercises this

that the maximum number of ance satellites, missiles to be deployed this year might reach thirty-five.

\*By September, however, the exact equivalent of blinding last a therican reconnaissance this country in a crisis, It is satellite had found more than important, then, that the repositions dug to receive cent exercises, which were new missiles. These silos are elaborate and ambitious, have divided into about 60 for ad-proved that the Soviets now vanced model SS-11S (like our have this capability of blindvanced model SS-11S (like our have this capability of blind-

SS-9S (capable of taking out non-nuclear missile with powsize silos probably due to take target seeking at the climax.

a super brute missile of an entirely new type.

When "fixed" on its victim-satellite, it appears to do its job Perhaps more ominously, by ejecting large numbers of testing of the advanced model high velocity pellets of some SS-9S and SS-11S, though not cort. If the U.S. is one day

2518 64 11

In the Middle East, in Commuof Pavlov's dogs salivating Both the advanced-model nist China, and one or two when the bell was rung. SS-9 and the advanced-model other places around the world, It is a key question—in fact SS-11 have been recently there are situations that must

Approved For Release 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

Approved For Release 2000/09/08 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

THE WASHINGTON POST

DATE 290471

PAGE

ED



## Joseph Alsop

# The Watersheds Paper

SOMETHING called "The Watersheds Paper" is circulating in the governmental inner circle; and it is causing talk. The paper's details are naturally not discoverable, but its main point is known. The point is that a watershed in world affairs has been passed, and a quite new situation has been created, because of the enormous increase of Soivet nuclear-strategic power.

The point is well taken, alas. Yet one can hardly imagine an official paper putting the problem bleakly enough to describe the real hature of this new world situation, even if a major watershed is beginning to be timidly and belatedly recognized.

The essence of the new situation is very simple, however. With their vastly increased nuclear-strategic power, the Soviets can comfortably think about doing all sorts of things that would have been quite unthinkable before. One such is the surgical nuclear strike, to destroy the Chinese Communist nuclear program, which the Soviets have in fact been actively and methodically preparing.

The illustration is particularly relevant, because the Soviet preparations abovementioned have conspicuously included a huge, immensely costly build-up of conventional military power along the Sino-Soviet frontier. The two kinds of investment in power go hand in hand, in other words; and each serves the other.

NO SANE PERSON can suppose this country will do anything but wail and wring hands, if the Soviets eventually decide to make the unprovoked nuclear attack they have been getting ready for. That particular aspect of the new world situation will not be changed in the least by President Nix-

As the Chinese also lack the means to defend themselves, what still seems unthinkable to most people in this flabby-minded country, is in truth an almost risk-free choice for the Soviets. The sole remaining question, in fact, is what the Soviet choice will be in the period before the Chinese gain

the power for a counterstrike.

It is vitally important to note, moreover, that the same rules apply in other areas more vital to U.S. interests than the Sino-Soviet border. Particularly at sea, the build-up of Soviet conventional power has been worldwide in its potential impact. And in the new world situation, the American "deterrent" cannot be rationally expected "deter" anything at all, except (one hopes) a direct Soviet nuclear attack on this country.

Hence, lots of other formerly unthinkable things have become things the Soviets can quite comfortably think about. Here consider the troubled Middle East. Our State Department is overjoyed at the moment because the Soviets have become "our silent partners"—the phrase is actually used—in pressing for an interim agreement on the Suez front.

THE SOVIETS are undoubtedly exerting a strong negative pressure on Egypt's President Anwar El-Sadat, to prevent him from reopening hostilities with the Israelis. There is a real chance that this will end by Sadat's accepting terms for an interim agreement that the Israelis can also accept.

Suppose, then, that this is the outcome. Israel will still be very much there, as a permanent irritant to inflame the Arab world an interim agreement will be the reopening of the Suez Canal, about six months after the agreement has been reached.

When that happens, all the problems of the Soviet Navy in the Indian Ocean will be automatically solved. At present, Soviet vessels in those waters are commanded from Vladivostok, halfway 'round the world, because that is their nearest port. With the canal reopened, the nearest port will be Odessa. And Soviet naval power in the Indian Ocean will be predictably multiplied by ten.

MEANWHILE the Persian Gulf, where the world oiltap is conveniently located, is being left a political and military vacuum by the de-

parture of the British. No place on earth is more beautifully arranged for the practice of 19th century gunboat diplomacy. Ask yourself, then, what will happen if the Soviets do the unthinkable in the Persian Gulf—if they in fact end by resorting to gunboat diplomacy to gain control of the world oil-tap?

In the new world situation, the answer is that the U.S. will do nothing, once again, but wail and wring hands. So it seems a bit odd, to a returning traveler, that so many Americans also want to impair the world balance of power still further, by needlessly losing the war in Vietnam.

Los Angeles Times

Approved For Religies 2000/09/Bainst the U.S. Meanwhile Room Religies 2000/09/

## Approved For Release 2000/09/0 Generals 300 Sayou the Nation's Air Strength Is Declining

BY DEET MIDLE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

## Approved For Release 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003-2

NEW YORK TIMES

DATE HAV

## SATELLITES SPOT A SOVIET BUILD-UP FOR ATOMIC ARMS

Many Silos Reported as Well as Increased Facilities to **Build Missile Vessels** 

## SUBMARINE TALLY IS 41

U.S. Officials Cite Urgency of Reaching an Agreement on Weapons Control

#### By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 10-Satellite photos of the Soviet Union have uncovered evidence of a substantial build-up of more and better strategic nuclear weapons.

The new information shows that the Russians are continuing to build two new classes of silos, or emplacements, for large missiles, are constructing yet a third type of new silo, and are doubling the production facilities for Soviet missile submarines.

Some officials in the State and Defense Departments and the White House say this build-up increases the need to secure at least a first-step arms control agreement as soon as possible.

Others throughout the Government privately stress that unless this build-up is stopped soon, the United States may feel impelled to expand its own nuclear arsenal to maintain a balance of strategic power.

### Reluctance on Details

A senior Pentagon official, pressed for details on the extent and character of the Soviet nuclear program, insisted that

Defense Department did not intend to provide details of the build-up until its next annual report to Congress in February.

Other officials in various Government departments were sufficiently concerned, however, to sketch out some details of the Soviet build-up.

The reconnaissance satellites have found that the number of silos of two different sizes generally believed designed for improved or entirely new longrange missiles now exceeds 90 -up from the 10 noted early this year and 60 seen in midsummer. The smaller of these silos is significantly larger than the 10-foot diameter of the Russian's largest operational missile, the SS-9.

A third type of silo, larger than the others, has been sighted, with one each at several missile complexes. Analysts believe the new holes are destined either for a specialpurpose new missile or a new

· type of command and control facility.

The Russians now have about 41 Y-class missile submarines ready or under construction, thus drawing abreast of the American Polaris submarine force. The new intelligence findings indicate a doubling in size of the principal Soviet nuclear submarine construction yard at Severodvinsk, on the White Sea.

## 1,600 Reported Completed

The total number of Russian Intercontinental ballistic missiles, completed or under construction, is said to exceed 1,600, compared with 1,054 for the United States. In addition nearly 100 Soviet ICBM silos at test and training centers would be expected to be put to use in a crisis; the United States has only a handful of such test silos.

The intelligence reports indicate that the Russians are working at what one senior official calls an "incredibly intense" pace in completing a ring of antiballistic missile sites around Moscow.

Publicly, the Administration, through th President, has emphasized the hope for early success in the talks to limit strategic arms, which have been on for two years.

On Sept. 25, President Nixon called the prospects good. Whether the two countries can achieve an initial agreement by year end, "no one can say at this point," he declared. "We have made progress. I believe

the goal will be achieved."

No Charge of Blackmail

He concluded: "Neither power at this time could, if it wanted to, gain that superiority which would enable it to, frankly, blackmail the other one."

But privately, some senior officials are less optimistic. One official said:

"We have consistently underestimated the numerical goals of the Soviet missile programs for 10 years. We have also consistently assumed, incorrectly I'm afraid, that they bought our strategic concept of deterrence.

"The obviously don't want

a nuclear war any more than we. But they're building a sufficient edge in nuclear strength, and in conventional forces as well, so they may have reason to expect us to back down in future confrontations, as we made them do in the Cuban missile crisis of 1962.

### Aim Is Deterrence

The American strategy is based on having a nuclear force that can ride out a surattack and retaliate against the attacker's cities rather than against his remaining nuclear weapons. By maintaining such an "assured destruction" capability, the strategy seeks to deter nuclear war.

The arms-limitation talks are aimed at curbing the number of offensive and defensive nuclear weapons to the point where neither side would feel confident that it could destroy the retaliatory capability of the other in a surprise attack.

Admir istration officials differ on the kind of missiles that are to be deployed in the more than 90 new missile silos being built at locations east and west of the Ural Mountains.

The majority of analysts believe the Soviet Union would not build the huge silos unless it intended to install much improved versions of the SS-9 and S-11 ICBM's or even new gen-

the arms-control talks were at Release 2000/09/08: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200230003n2 such a delicate stage that the proved accuracy, reliability and warheads.

Security a Possible Purpose

A minority view holds that the new silos are designed to provide greater security against attack.

Silos of both types have been constructed at the Tyuratam missile test center and test firings are expected soon. Data from such tests should dispell much of the mystery surrounding the new silos, analysts believe.

The third type of new silo, about four feet wider than the largest ever seen, has now been spotted, with one each at several complexes.

Analysts are mystified about the purpose of these silos. Some speculate that they could house well protected command and control centers from which Russian missile officers could command nearby ICBM's in a war. Others believe the holes are designed for a special purpose missile that is being dispersed to achieve greater protection against concentrated attack.

Among the possibilities cited are the following: missiles designed to carry special com-munications satellites to communicate with Russian missile submarines just before or during a nuclear war; big rockets set off very large explosions over the United States in an accempt to black out its radar and communications temporarily or make it difficult to fire ICBM's through large radioaction cloud, and large missiles to carry orbiting bombs in a crisis to persuade the United States to back down, much as the forward flights of American B-52 bombers during the Cuban missile confrontation were designed to force the Russians to remove their missles from Cuba.

PAGE

NEW YORK TIMES

## SOVIET MAY HAVE NEW MISSILE IN '72

Penagon Aides Say Tests **Appear Near Conclusion** 

## By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York Times

***
WASHINGTON, Dec. 2-Pen-
tagon analysts say the Soviet
Tinion appears to be nearing
the successful conclusion of
tocte of a new long-range sub-
marine missile and may deploy
No money mort West

The missile, called the Sawfly by Western analysts, has a range of up to 3,500 miles, approximately twice that of the best Soviet operational submaning missile. rine missile. The best American submarine missile, the Poseidon, has a range of about 3,000 miles.

Analysts say there have been about 15 tests of the Sawfly since mid-1969, with a flurry of firings this fall. All but four of the tests were successful. sources say, and the failures came early in the program.

"We think they can and probably will deploy next year" one senior official said.

Most analysts believe the new missiles will first be carried by one of two existing types of Soviet submarines, the H-class or the Y-class. Later, it is expected they will be carried by a new submarine designed for them.

Earlier this week, the Defense Department awarded a contract to Lockheed Aircraft Corporation to develop a longer-range submarine missile. Unofficial estimates are that it will have a range of about 4,000 miles. It will not be available, however, for several years.

The importance of longer range, analysts explained, is that it provides a larger area of ocean for submarine to hide in while still being able to reach its target.

Sources say there have been at least four Sawfly test fir-ings since September. The missiles are launched from a naval missile testing center near the White Sea across the Soviet Union, landing in the Kamchatka Peninsula in Soviet Asia.

Sources say the Sawfly carries a "significantly larger" warhead than the Soviet SSN-6 missile, 16 of which are carried on each Y-class submarine. The SSN-6 is estimated to carry a warhead of from one to two megatons. A megaton is a measure of explosive force equal to a million tons of TNT.

## Megaton Warhead for Poseidon

Most American Polaris missiles carry a one-megaton warhead. The Poseidon missile, which is being placed on 31 of the 41 Polaris submarines, carries from 10 to 14 warheads of about 40 kilotons each. A kiloton is equivalent to 1,000 tons of TNT.

Sources note that while the Soviet has been actively test-ing various multiple warheads their missiles, none of these tests has been specifically associated with the Saw-

Some analysts are particu-larly concerned about the Sciviet missile submarine program because the Russians now are credited with having at least 42 Y-class submarines afloat or under construction and are cut-rently doubling the size of their construction facility in Severodvinsk, on the White Sea where most of their mis-sile submarines are built. The United States is attempt-ing in arms-control negotia-

ing, in arms-control negotiations, to persuade the Russians to stop building missile submarines as well as land-based missiles. So far, knowledgeable administration sources say, the Russians have been cool to including missile submarines in a strategic weapons freeze.

In addition to Y-class sub-marines, the Soviet Union also has about 10 H-class crafts, which carry three 600-mile missiles each.

There has been considerable speculation that the Russians might place the Sawfly first in the H-class submarine, because 600-mile missiles require the Russians to come too close to shore in order to hit inland targets. The closer the submarine comes to shore, the greater the chance of its discovery and destruction

But just as the United States has started a program to place its advanced Poseidon missile on all but 10 of its 41 Polaris submarines, the Russians might want to modernize their Y-class fleet the same way, some analysts suggest.

In addition to missile sub-marines, the Soviet also has about 35 submarines that carry from six to eight cruise missiles, each with a 400-mile range. These are regarded as primarily designed for use against surface ships, rather than targets ashore.

1: